1	
2	Executi
3	to certa
4	thereby
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	time.
10	
11	and pro
12	
13	initial d
14	
15	Rule 26
16	discove
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

During the negotiations for a number of those contracts, Constant, through its Chief Executive Officer, Philip Ho, made false representations regarding Constant's ownership of rights to certain game titles. Plaintiffs relied on those representations in contracting with Constant, and thereby suffered damages.

Because Defendants have defaulted, there are no factual issues in dispute.¹

- 3. <u>Legal Issues</u>: As Defendants have defaulted, there are no disputed points of law.
- 4. <u>Motions</u>: Plaintiffs intend to request entry of default judgment against Defendants.
- 5. <u>Amendment of Pleadings</u>: Plaintiffs do not intend to amend their complaint at this time
- 6. <u>Evidence Preservation</u>: Plaintiffs have preserved evidence relevant to their claims and provided this information to their counsel.
- 7. <u>Disclosures</u>: Because Defendants have defaulted, the parties have not exchanged initial disclosures.
- 8. <u>Discovery</u>: Because Defendants have defaulted, the parties have not had a Rule 26(f) meeting and thus no discovery has been taken to date. Defendant's Rule 26(f) discovery plan is as follows.
 - a. As Defendants have defaulted, no exchange of initial disclosures has been made or is necessary.
 - b. Plaintiffs do not intend to seek any additional discovery from Defendants at this time.
 - c. There are no issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information at this time.
 - d. There are no issues relating to claims of privilege at this time.
 - e. No changes should be made to the limitations on discovery.
 - 9. Class Actions: This is not a class action.

28

27

25

26

¹ Plaintiffs served their complaint on Defendants on March 12, 2008. Defendants failed to appear or otherwise respond to the complaint within the time prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Clerk of the Court entered their default on April 22, 2008.

3

6

12

13

9

15

20

21

18

23

26

- 10. Related Cases: There are no related cases or proceedings pending before another judge of this Court or before another court or administrative body.
- 11. Relief: As against Constant, 3A seeks \$984,482 in compensatory damages for Constant's fraud and breach of contract, as well as punitive damages. This amount represents money paid to Constant pursuant to the contracts at issue in this action. As against Philip Ho, 3A seeks \$290,000 in compensatory damages for Mr. Ho's fraud, as well as punitive damages. This amount represents money paid pursuant to contracts that Constant fraudulently induced 3A into entering.

As against Constant, Labcroft seeks \$128,000 in compensatory damages for Constant's fraud and breach of contract, as well as punitive damages. This amount represents money paid to Constant pursuant to the contract at issue in this action. As against Mr. Ho, Labcroft seeks \$128,000 in compensatory damages for Mr. Ho's fraud, punitive damages, and costs of suit. This amount represents money paid pursuant to a contract that Constant fraudulently induced Labcroft into entering.

- 12. Settlement and ADR: There are no prospects for settlement at this time. As Defendants have defaulted, no ADR efforts have been made.
- 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes: Plaintiffs do not consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings.
- 14. Other References: This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
- 15. Narrowing of Issues: As Defendants have defaulted, no issues require narrowing and there is no need for stipulations of fact.
- 16. Expedited Schedule: Because the only remaining issue in the case is entry of default judgment, Plaintiffs believe this case can be completed expeditiously.
- 17. Scheduling: As Defendants have defaulted, Plaintiffs believe that scheduling dates for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference, and trial is unnecessary at this time. Plaintiffs will submit proposed dates should the Court require them.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Dated: September 4, 2008

JAMES WARE

ized States District Judge